


**STUDENTS SURVEY RESULTS**  
**“ASSESSMENT OF THE LEVEL OF TEACHERS’**  
**SKILLS”**  
**2023/2024 ACADEMIC YEAR**



# 1. EVALUATION RESULTS OF THE MASTERY LEVEL OF ACADEMIC STAFF AT THE EDUCATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTE "EUROPEAN SCHOOL OF BUSINESS"

№	Teacher's Full Name	Average Score
1.	Alekseyenko-Lemovska L.V.	4,7
2.	Vishnevskaya O.P.	4,8
3.	Vlasenko O.O.	4,6
4.	Vovchenko O.A.	4,6
5.	Galenin R.V.	4,9
6.	Grebinyuk V.O.	4,7
7.	Gutsalyuk O.M.	4,5
8.	Davydenko N.V.	4,8
9.	Kulysh I.V.	4,4
10.	Lisnichuk O.A.	4,8
11.	Mitin S.V.	4,8
12.	Mozgoviy I.P.	4,5
13.	Nesterenko O.V.	4,6
14.	Pavlov V.V.	4,9
15.	Panchenko O.V.	4,8
16.	Pryimak N.V.	5
17.	Proskura S.L.	4,9
18.	Remyha Y.S.	5
19.	Rud O.M.	4,7
20.	Selin I.M.	4,9
21.	Semenyuta M.F.	4,8
22.	Sorokina T.M.	4,9
23.	Torbenko I.O.	5
24.	Trushkina N.V.	4,9
25.	Falovsky O.O.	4,9
26.	Khanikina N.V.	4,6
27.	Kharkyanen O.V.	4,8
28.	Chagovets L.O.	4,9
29.	Chernov O.V.	4,3
30.	Shevchuk B.V.	4,9
31.	Sherman Z.O.	4,3


# 2. EVALUATION RESULTS OF THE MASTERY LEVEL OF ACADEMIC STAFF AT THE EDUCATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTE "EUROPEAN SCHOOL OF BUSINESS"



### 3. EVALUATION RESULTS OF THE MASTERY LEVEL OF ACADEMIC STAFF AT THE EDUCATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTE "EUROPEAN MEDICAL SCHOOL "

№	Teacher's Full Name	Average Score
1.	Babenzov G.D.	4,4
2.	Blindaruk S.H.	5,0
3.	Bondarenko A.V.	4,8
4.	Haiova L.V.	4,2
5.	Hilfanova A.M.	4,9
6.	Honcharenko Yu.O.	4,6
7.	Davydenko N.V.	5,0
8.	Dyudina I.O.	5,0
9.	Dyadyk O.V.	5,0
10.	Zahorodniy M.I.	5,0
11.	Izirinska Yu.R.	4,7
12.	Kovalenko V.V.	4,8
13.	Kostynskyi H.B.	4,5
14.	Lapiy F.I.	4,8
15.	Lesovska S.H.	4,8
16.	Mykhailiuk M.M.	4,9
18.	Nasheda S.V.	5,0
19.	Nechyporenko V.I.	4,9
20.	Sanzhur T.S.	4,9
21.	Sochenko S.I.	4,6
22.	Stepanovskiy Yu.S.	4,7
23.	Huraani Iyad	4,9
24.	Chaban T.M.	4,5
25.	Sherman Z.O.	4,4
26.	Shostak L.H.	4,9

## 4. EVALUATION RESULTS OF THE MASTERY LEVEL OF ACADEMIC STAFF AT THE EDUCATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTE "EUROPEAN MEDICAL SCHOOL"



# 5. STUDENTS' SATISFACTION WITH THE QUALITY OF ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES LECTURING AND THE ORGANIZATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS BY INSTRUCTORS

**THE MAJORITY OF  
UNIVERSITY  
STUDENTS NOTED A  
HIGH LEVEL OF  
PROFESSIONALISM  
AMONG  
INSTRUCTORS:**

**Completeness of course presentation at the beginning of the course, including the grading system, evaluation criteria, and general rules**

**Clarity, accessibility, and comprehensibility of material presentation, and the ability to engage students**

**Objectivity and transparency in the evaluation of students' knowledge**

**Organizational culture of instructors (timely start and end of classes, adherence to the schedule)**

**Courtesy and tactfulness of instructors in their attitude towards students**

**Use of active teaching methods: discussions, role-playing, small group work, projects, individual and group presentations, etc.**

**Ability to create a comfortable environment where each student can express themselves**

**Ability to motivate students to deepen their study of the subject**

**Visualization of material: presentations, maps, diagrams, tables, handouts, etc.**

**Availability of feedback (analysis of completed tasks, response to student needs, informational requests from the group)**